

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,979	MIYAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Notice of Abandonment

 (1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(3) _____.

 (2) Debbie Labriny assistant to Daneil J. Stanger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2005
Time: 10:15 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to inquiry if a response to an office action mailed on 11/16/05 was sent to the USPTO. The assistant working with the attorney informed the examiner that the case had gone abandon. Therefore, a notice of allowance is forth coming..